



BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
1100 13th STREET, N.W.  
SUITE 1200  
WASHINGTON DC 20005-4051

**MAILED**

**DEC 08 2011**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Muller, et al. : DECISION ON PETITION  
Application No. 09/588,003 :  
Filed: June 6, 2000 :  
Atty. Dkt. No: 006918.00007 :

This decision is in response to the petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, filed November 16, 2011.

The application was held abandoned for failure to timely submit a proper reply to the final Office action mailed April 28, 2011. Notice of Abandonment was mailed November 8, 2011.

Petitioners request withdrawal of the holding of abandonment of the instant application on the basis of non-receipt of the Office communication.

The showing required to establish non-receipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner describing the system used for recording an Office action received at the correspondence address of record with the USPTO. The statement should establish that the docketing system is sufficiently reliable. It is expected that the record would include, but not be limited to, the application number, attorney docket number, the mail date of the Office action and the due date for the response. Practitioner must state that the Office action was not received at the correspondence address of record, and that a search of the practitioner's record(s), including any file jacket or the equivalent, and the application contents, indicates that the Office action was not received. A copy of the record(s) used by the practitioner where the non-received Office action would have been entered had it been received is required. A copy of the practitioner's record(s) required to show non-receipt of the Office action should include the master docket for the firm. That is, if a three month period for reply was set in the non-received Office action, a copy of the master docket report showing all replies docketed for a date three months from the mail date of the non-received Office action must be submitted as documentary proof of non-receipt of the Office action. If no such master docket exists, the practitioner should so state and provide other evidence such as, but not limited to, the following: the application file jacket; incoming mail log; calendar; reminder system; or the individual docket record for the application in question. See, MPEP 711.03(c).

The instant petition and supporting documents have been carefully review and found in compliance with the requirements for establishing non-receipt of an Office communication.

In view thereof, the petition is hereby GRANTED. The holding of abandonment is withdrawn and the Notice of Abandonment is vacated.

This application is being directed to Group Art Unit 2431 for re-mailing of the final Office action. The newly mailed final Office action will set a new period of time for reply.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.

*/ALESIA M. BROWN/*

Alesia M. Brown  
Attorney Advisor  
Office of Petitions